UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

		JR Tom Webster	Case Number: CR-10-08059-PCT-DGC	
		_	3143(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	the de	_	ty and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in	
\boxtimes	the de	the defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.		
		PART 1	I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The	defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local	
		offense that would have been a fee existed) that is	deral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had	
			ned in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
		\Box an offense for which a max	imum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committe	d after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal	
		-	S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
			minor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or eterms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or or under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The or on release pending trial for a feder	ffense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was al, state or local offense.	
	(3)	• • • • • • • • • •	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of nt from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.	
	(4)		ablish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find this presumption.	
		Α	lternative Findings	
	(1)	☐ for which a maximum term ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 9	robable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in1 956(a), or 2332b. 4, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

	prescribed. □ an offense involving a minor victim under section²		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
	Alternative Findings		
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions wil reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight		
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
	☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
	☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond		
	reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		
	(1) (2) (3) (4)		

²Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

|X|In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violation conditions of supervised release failing to a program of substance abuse treatment as directed by his probation officer. The defendant's whereabouts were unknown when the petition was filed and he was an absconder. The Court finds that he poses a flight risk.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 18th day of October, 2017.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge